

THE WARING LOCI OF TERNARY QUARTICS

JAYDEEP V. CHIPALKATTI

ABSTRACT. Let s be any integer between 1 and 5. We determine necessary and sufficient conditions that a given ternary quartic be expressible as a (possibly degenerate) sum of fourth powers of s linear forms.

AMS subject classification: 14-04, 14 L35.

Keywords: apolarity, Waring's problem, concomitants.

Let F be a homogeneous polynomial (or form) of degree q in r variables. It is a classical problem to decide whether F can be expressed as a sum of powers of linear forms,

$$(1) \quad F = L_1^q + \cdots + L_s^q;$$

for a specified number s . This is usually called ‘Waring’s problem’ for algebraic forms. (Normally one also allows a ‘degeneration’ of the right hand side in (1), this will be made precise later.) Since the condition that F be so expressible is invariant under the natural action of the group SL_r , it should be equivalent to the vanishing of certain concomitants of F . It is of interest to identify these concomitants, and thus to get explicit algebraic conditions on the coefficients of F for the expression (1) (or its degeneration) to be possible.

In this note we consider ternary quartics, i.e., we let $q = 4, r = 3$. Since a general ternary quartic is a sum of 6 powers of linear forms, we consider the range $1 \leq s \leq 5$. The calculations required in this case are not prohibitively large, and it is possible to get a complete solution. The result is given in Theorem 3.1.

For an excellent introduction to Waring’s problem, see [7]. A very comprehensive account of the theory is given in [10]. The problem was solved for binary forms by Gundelfinger (see [1, 8, 12]). For ternary cubics, the solution is essentially given in [15].

1. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we establish notation and recall the representation-theoretic notions that we will need. The reader may wish to read

it along with [2], where a similar set-up is used but more detailed explanations are given. Although we work throughout with ternary quartics, on occasion I state whether a result goes through for arbitrary q and r .

Let V be a three-dimensional $\mathbf{C}$ -vector space. Let V and V^* have dual bases $\{y_0, y_1, y_2\}$ and $\{x_0, x_1, x_2\}$ respectively. We will identify $\mathbb{P}(S_4 V^*) = \mathbb{P}^{14}$ with the space of quartic forms in the x_i (up to scalars). Let $R = S_\bullet(S_4 V)$ denote the symmetric algebra on $S_4 V$, then $\mathbb{P}^{14} = \text{Proj } R$.

For an integer s , let $W_s^\circ \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{14}$ denote the set

$$(2) \quad \{F \in \mathbb{P}^{14} : F = L_1^4 + \cdots + L_s^4, \text{ for some } L_i \in V^*\},$$

and W_s its Zariski closure. The W_s are irreducible projective varieties, whereas the W_s° are generally not quasiprojective, but only constructible. The imbedding $W_s \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{14}$ is $SL(V)$ -equivariant.

We would like to give necessary and sufficient SL_3 -invariant algebraic conditions for a form F to lie in W_s . This is roughly the same as determining the structure of the ideal $I_{W_s} \subseteq R$ as an $SL(V)$ -representation. The parallel problem of characterizing W_s° is harder, and later in §3.6 we only consider the case of W_2° .

Remark 1.1. The dimensions of the W_s are known by a theorem due to Alexander and Hirschowitz - see [7, 10] for references. In fact $W_s = \mathbb{P}^{14}$ for $s \geq 6$, $\dim W_s = 3s - 1$ for $1 \leq s \leq 4$ and W_5 is a hypersurface. The degrees of $W_1, \dots, W_5$ are 16, 75, 112, 35 and 6 respectively (see [5]). Elements of W_4 (resp. W_5) are conventionally called Capolary (resp. Clebsch) quartics.

1.1. Schur functors. If λ is a partition, then $S_\lambda(-)$ denotes the corresponding Schur functor. (We maintain the indexing conventions of [6, Ch. 6].)

Let $J \subseteq R$ be a homogeneous $SL(V)$ -stable ideal, and J_d its degree d part. We have an additive decomposition

$$(3) \quad J_d = \bigoplus_{\lambda} (S_\lambda V)^{N_\lambda} \subseteq S_d(S_4 V).$$

Since V is three-dimensional, $\lambda = (m + n, n)$ for some integers m, n . If we can locate the degrees d which generate J , and then specify the inclusions in (3), then J is completely specified. These inclusions are encoded by the concomitants of ternary quartics.

1.2. Concomitants. Write a_I for the monomial $y_0^{i_0} y_1^{i_1} y_2^{i_2}$, where $I = (i_0, i_1, i_2)$ is of total degree 4. Then the $\{a_I\}$ form a basis of $S_4 V$, and R is the polynomial algebra $\mathbf{C}[\{a_I\}]$. Now $S_4 V \otimes S_4 V^*$ contains the trace element, which, when written out in full, appears as

$$\mathbb{F} = \sum_{|I|=4} a_I \otimes x_0^{i_0} x_1^{i_1} x_2^{i_2}.$$

This is the precise formulation of the concept of a ‘generic’ ternary quartic. Write

$$u_0 = x_1 \wedge x_2, \quad u_1 = x_2 \wedge x_0, \quad u_2 = x_0 \wedge x_1;$$

which form a basis of $\wedge^2 V^*$. Consider an inclusion

$$S_{(m+n,n)} V \xrightarrow{\varphi} S_d(S_4 V)$$

of $SL(V)$ -representations, which will correspond to an inclusion

$$\mathbf{C} \longrightarrow S_d(S_4 V) \otimes S_{m+n,n} V^*.$$

Let Φ denote the image of 1 under this map. Written out in full, it appears as a form of degree d, m, n respectively, in the three sets of variables a_I, x_i, u_i . (This follows because $S_{m+n,n} V^*$ has a basis coming from standard tableaux, see [6, Ch. 6].) We will write this image as $\Phi(d, m, n)$. Classically, it is called a concomitant of degree d , order m and class n (of ternary quartics). For instance, $\mathbb{F}$ itself is a $\Phi(1, 4, 0)$ and the Hessian of F is a $\Phi(3, 6, 0)$. For fixed d, m, n , the number of linearly independent concomitants equals the multiplicity of $S_{m+n,n} V$ in $S_d(S_4 V)$.

We may regard Φ as a form in u_i, x_i with coefficients in R_d . Then the subspace of R_d generated by these coefficients coincides with the image of the inclusion φ above. We can evaluate Φ at a specific form F by substituting its actual coefficients for the letters a_I . Then we say that Φ vanishes at F if this evaluated form is identically zero.

2. APOLARITY

We briefly explain the connection between the expression of F as a sum of powers, and the existence of schemes ‘apolar’ to F (also see [3, 4, 10]).

Let $A = S_\bullet V$ denote the symmetric algebra on V . A linear form $L \in V^*$ can be considered as a point in $\mathbb{P}V^* = \text{Proj } A$. If $Z = \{L_1, \dots, L_s\}$ is a collection of points in $\mathbb{P}V^*$, then $I_Z \subseteq A$ denotes their ideal.

For every $k \geq 0$, there is a coproduct map

$$S_4 V^* \longrightarrow S_k V^* \otimes S_{4-k} V^*.$$

(It is zero unless $0 \leq k \leq 4$.) This gives rise to a map

$$(4) \quad S_4 V^* \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(S_k V, S_{4-k} V^*), \quad F \longrightarrow \alpha_{k,F}.$$

Taking a direct sum over all k , we have a map

$$S_4 V^* \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(A, \bigoplus_{i=0}^4 S_i V^*), \quad F \longrightarrow \alpha_F.$$

For a fixed F , $\ker \alpha_F$ is a homogeneous ideal in A . Classically, a form in $\ker \alpha_F$ is said to be apolar to F . The passage between apolarity and Waring's problem is forged by the following beautiful theorem of Reye. (See [10, Theorem 5.3 B].)

Theorem 2.1 (Reye). *With notation as above,*

$$F \in \text{span}\{L_1^4, \dots, L_s^4\} \iff I_Z \subseteq \ker \alpha_F \iff (I_Z)_4 \subseteq \ker \alpha_{4,F}.$$

The result holds for any q, r . In the situation above, we will say that Z is an apolar scheme of F . To restate the theorem, F lies in W_s° iff F has a reduced zero-dimensional apolar scheme Z of length s . It is natural to relax the requirement that Z be reduced, which motivates the following definition. Let

$$X_s = \{F \in \mathbb{P}^{14} : \ker \alpha_F \supseteq I_Z \text{ for some } Z \in \text{Hilb}^s(\mathbb{P}V^*)\}.$$

This is a projective subvariety of $\mathbb{P}^{14}$, which a priori only contains W_s . Now [10, Prop. 6.7] implies the following:

Lemma 2.2. *We have $W_s = X_s$ for all s .*

The result is true for $r = 2, 3$ and all q . (The crux is that the schemes $\text{Hilb}^s(\mathbb{P}^{r-1})$ are irreducible for $r = 2, 3$.) I do not know if X_s can properly contain W_s for $r > 3$.

One can use Reye's theorem to relate the varieties X_s to degeneracy loci of certain morphisms of vector bundles on $\mathbb{P}^{14}$. Globally, the map (4) gives a morphism of vector bundles

$$\alpha_k : S_k V \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{14}}(-1) \longrightarrow S_{4-k} V^*.$$

Upto a twist, α_k is dual to α_{4-k} .

Lemma 2.3. *If F belongs to X_s , then $\text{rank } \alpha_{k,F} \leq s$ for any k .*

PROOF. Since $(I_Z)_k \subseteq \ker \alpha_{k,F}$, we have

$$\operatorname{rank} \alpha_{k,F} = \operatorname{codim}(\ker \alpha_{k,F}, A_k) \leq \operatorname{codim}((I_Z)_k, A_k) \leq s.$$

If $\psi : \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}$ is a morphism of vector bundles, let $Y(s, \psi)$ denote the scheme $\{\operatorname{rank} \psi \leq s\}$, whose ideal sheaf is locally generated by the $(s+1) \times (s+1)$ -minors of a matrix representing ψ . Let $Y_{\text{red}}(s, \psi)$ denote the underlying variety. We will shorten this to Y or Y_{red} if no confusion is likely.

Remark 2.4. By the lemma above, $X_s \subseteq Y_{\text{red}}(s, \alpha_k)$ for any k . For binary forms, the containment

$$X_s \subseteq \bigcap_k Y_{\text{red}}(s, \alpha_k)$$

is an equality, but it is not known in general when this holds.

2.1. Symmetric bundle maps. In the sequel, we will exploit the fact that $\alpha_{2,F}$ is a twisted symmetric morphism.

Generally, let T be a smooth complex projective variety. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a rank e vector bundle and $\mathcal{L}$ a line bundle on T . Assume that $\psi : \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}^* \otimes \mathcal{L}$ is a twisted symmetric bundle map (i.e., $\psi^* \otimes \mathcal{L} = \psi$). Define $Y = Y(s, \psi)$ as above. Then assuming Y is nonempty,

$$(5) \quad \operatorname{codim}(Y', T) \leq \frac{(e-s)(e-s+1)}{2},$$

for every component Y' of Y . Moreover, if equality holds for every component, then Y is Cohen-Macaulay. In that case, the class of Y in the Chow ring of T is given by a determinantal formula (see [9]). Let $z_k = c_k(\mathcal{E}^* \otimes \sqrt{\mathcal{L}})$, then $[Y]$ equals 2^{e-s} times the $(e-s) \times (e-s)$ determinant whose (i, j) -th entry is $z_{(e-s-2i+j+1)}$.

The minimal resolution of Y (assuming equality in (5)) is deduced in [11]. All that we need is the beginning portion

$$(6) \quad S_{\lambda_s} \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes(-s-1)} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_T \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow 0,$$

where λ_s denotes the partition $(\underbrace{2, \dots, 2}_{s+1})$.

We will apply the set-up to α_2 , with $\mathcal{E} = S_2 V \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{14}}(-1)$ and $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{14}}(-1)$.

3. COMPUTATIONS

We proceed to state the main theorem, and then explain the calculations entering into it. The concomitants will be written in the symbolic notation - see [2, 8] for an explanation of this formalism. Define the following concomitants

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi(2, 4, 2) &= \alpha_x^2 \beta_x^2 (\alpha \beta u)^2 \\
\Phi(2, 0, 4) &= (\alpha \beta u)^4 \\
\Phi(3, 6, 0) &= \alpha_x^2 \beta_x^2 \gamma_x^2 (\alpha \beta \gamma)^2 \\
\Phi(3, 3, 3) &= \alpha_x \beta_x \gamma_x (\alpha \beta \gamma) (\alpha \beta u) (\alpha \gamma u) (\beta \gamma u) \\
\Phi(3, 2, 2) &= \gamma_x^2 (\alpha \beta \gamma)^2 (\alpha \beta u)^2 \\
\Phi(3, 0, 0) &= (\alpha \beta \gamma)^4 \\
\Phi(3, 0, 6) &= (\alpha \beta u)^2 (\alpha \gamma u)^2 (\beta \gamma u)^2 \\
\Phi(4, 0, 2) &= (\alpha \gamma \delta)^2 (\beta \gamma \delta)^2 (\alpha \beta u)^2 \\
\Phi(4, 1, 3) &= \alpha_x (\alpha \gamma \delta)^2 (\beta \gamma u)^2 (\alpha \beta \delta) (\beta \delta u) \\
\Phi(4, 4, 0) &= \alpha_x \beta_x \gamma_x \delta_x (\alpha \beta \gamma) (\alpha \beta \delta) (\beta \gamma \delta) (\alpha \gamma \delta) \\
\Phi(4, 2, 4) &= \alpha_x \beta_x (\alpha \gamma \delta) (\beta \gamma \delta) (\alpha \beta u)^2 (\gamma \delta u)^2 \\
\Phi_I(5, 0, 4) &= (\alpha \beta \gamma)^4 (\delta \epsilon u)^4 \\
\Phi_{II}(5, 0, 4) &= (\alpha \beta \gamma)^2 (\delta \epsilon u)^2 (\alpha \delta \epsilon)^2 (\beta \gamma u)^2 \\
\Phi(5, 2, 0) &= \alpha_x \beta_x (\alpha \beta \gamma)^2 (\alpha \delta \epsilon) (\beta \delta \epsilon) (\gamma \delta \epsilon)^2 \\
\Phi(6, 0, 0) &= (\alpha \beta \gamma)^2 (\delta \epsilon \zeta)^2 (\alpha \epsilon \zeta)^2 (\beta \gamma \delta)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Now form the lists

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{U}_1 &= \{\Phi(2, 4, 2), \Phi(2, 0, 4)\} \\
\mathcal{U}_2 &= \{\Phi(3, 6, 0), \Phi(3, 0, 6), \Phi(3, 3, 3), \Phi(3, 2, 2), \Phi(3, 0, 0)\} \\
\mathcal{U}_3 &= \{\Phi(4, 4, 0), \Phi(4, 2, 4), \Phi(4, 1, 3), \Phi(4, 0, 2)\} \\
\mathcal{U}_4 &= \{\Phi_I(5, 0, 4) - 3\Phi_{II}(5, 0, 4), \Phi(5, 2, 0)\} \\
\mathcal{U}_5 &= \{3\Phi(6, 0, 0) - \Phi(3, 0, 0)^2\}.
\end{aligned}$$

If $\mathcal{U}$ is such a list, then $\mathcal{U}|_F = 0$ (resp. $\mathcal{U}|_F \neq 0$) means that all elements of $\mathcal{U}$ vanish at F (resp. at least one element is nonzero at F).

With notation as above, our main theorem is the following:

Theorem 3.1. *For a ternary quartic F ,*

$$F \in W_s \iff \mathcal{U}_s|_F = 0.$$

In the sequel, it is frequently necessary to calculate plethysms and tensor products of $SL(V)$ -representations, this was done using John Stembridge's SF package for Maple. All commutative algebra computations were done in Macaulay-2.

3.1. Case $s = 1$. The locus W_1 is the quartic Veronese imbedding of $\mathbb{P}V^*$. It is well-known that its ideal is generated in degree 2, and we have an exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & H^0(\mathbb{P}^{14}, \mathcal{I}_{W_1}(2)) & \rightarrow & H^0(\mathbb{P}^{14}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{14}}(2)) & \rightarrow & H^0(W_1, \mathcal{O}_{W_1}(2)) \\ & & & & \parallel & & \parallel \\ & & & & S_2(S_4 V) & & S_8 V \end{array}$$

Decomposing $S_2(S_4 V)$, we see that $H^0(\mathbb{P}^{14}, \mathcal{I}_{W_1}(2))$ must be isomorphic to $S_{(6,2)}V \oplus S_{(4,4)}V$. Henceforth we write the latter as $\{62, 44\}$.

To specify the inclusion $\{62\} \subseteq S_2(S_4 V)$ is to specify a concomitant $\Phi(2, 4, 2)$. There is only one copy of $\{62\}$ inside $S_2(S_4)$, hence there is a unique such Φ upto a constant. Now observe that $\alpha_x^2 \beta_x^2 (\alpha \beta u)^2$ is a (legal) symbolic expression of the right degree, moreover it is not identically zero. (This is tantamount to checking that it is a nonzero element in the 'bracket algebra' (see [16]). This was done in Macaulay-2.) Thus we have found $\Phi(2, 4, 2)$. The other concomitant $\Phi(2, 0, 4)$ is found in the same way, and this finishes the calculation for $s = 1$.

Remark 3.2. In general, given d, m, n , it is possible to get all symbolic expressions of that degree by solving a system of Diophantine equations. However, in practice it is much easier to concoct such expressions by hand, especially if the multiplicity of $S_{m+n, n}$ in $S_d(S_4)$ is small.

3.2. Case $s = 2$. Firstly we calculate the ideal I_{W_2} by explicit elimination. Let

$$F = \sum_{|I|=4} a_I x^I, \quad L_i = p_{i0} x_0 + p_{i1} x_1 + p_{i2} x_2 \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2;$$

where a_I, p_{ij} are indeterminates. Write $F = L_1^4 + L_2^4$, and equate coefficients. We obtain polynomial expressions $a_I = f_I(p_{10}, \dots, p_{22})$, defining a morphism

$$f : \mathbb{C}[\{a_I\}] \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}[\{p_{ij}\}]$$

Then I_{W_2} equals $\ker f$. The actual Macaulay-2 computation shows that all the ideal generators are in degree 3, and $\dim(I_{W_2})_3 = 148$.

The inclusion $W_2 \subseteq Y(2, \alpha_3) = Y$ implies $I_Y \subseteq I_{W_2}$. Now Y is a rank variety in the sense of Porras [14], in particular it is reduced. (It is the locus of those F which can be written as forms in only two variables by a change of coordinates.) The dimension of Y is 6 and its ideal sheaf is the image of the morphism (see [loc.cit.])

$$\wedge^3 \alpha_3 : \wedge^3(S_3 V) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{14}}(-3) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{14}}.$$

Decomposing $\wedge^3(S_3)$, we deduce that $(I_Y)_3$ is the 120-dimensional representation $\{63, 60, 42, 00\}$ inside

$$S_3(S_4 V) = \{(12, 0), (10, 2), 93, 84, 66, 63, 60, 42, 00\}.$$

The quotient of the inclusion $(I_Y)_3 \subseteq (I_{W_2})_3$ is a 28-dimensional representation, and can only be $\{66\}$. Hence $(I_{W_2})_3 = \{66, 63, 60, 42, 00\}$. The concomitants are obtained as in the previous case.

Remark 3.3. The Gordan-Nöther theorem (see [13, p. 234]) implies that $F \in Y(2, \alpha_3)$ iff the Hessian of F (which is $\Phi(3, 6, 0)$) identically vanishes. I do not know if the coefficients of $\Phi(3, 6, 0)$ define Y scheme-theoretically.

3.3. Case $s = 3$. Matters are greatly simplified because of the following lemma.

Lemma 3.4. *As schemes, $X_3 = Y(3, \alpha_2)$.*

PROOF. As a first step, we show that $X_3 = Y_{\text{red}}(3, \alpha_2)$. Let $F \in Y_{\text{red}}$. If $\text{rank } \alpha_{1,F} \leq 2$, then F is a binary quartic in disguise, and then it has infinitely many apolar schemes of length 3 (see [10, §1.3]). If $\text{rank } \alpha_{1,F} = 3$, then the existence of a length 3 apolar scheme follows from [10, Theorem 5.31]. (To summarise the situation, the Buchsbaum-Eisenbud structure theorem implies that $\ker \alpha_F$ is generated as an ideal by 3 conics and 2 quartics. The subideal generated by the 3 conics defines the apolar scheme.) In either case, $F \in X_3$. This shows that $X_3 = Y_{\text{red}}(3, \alpha_2)$.

Now $Y = Y(3, \alpha_2)$ is irreducible of dimension 8, so equality holds in (5). Hence Y is Cohen-Macaulay, and has no embedded components. By the determinantal formula, $\deg Y = 112$ which is the same as $\deg W_3$. Hence Y must be reduced. $\square$

It follows from (6) that $I_{W_3} = I_Y$ is generated in degree 4, and

$$(I_{W_3})_4 = S_{2222}(S_2 V) = \{64, 43, 40, 22\}.$$

The concomitants are calculated as before.

3.4. **Case $s = 4$.** This is similar to the previous case.

Lemma 3.5. *As schemes, $X_4 = Y(4, \alpha_2)$.*

PROOF. Assume $F \in Y_{\text{red}}(4, \alpha_2)$. If either $\text{rank } \alpha_{1,F} \leq 2$ or $\text{rank } \alpha_{2,F} \leq 3$, then $F \in X_3$ by the previous argument. Hence we may assume $\text{rank } \alpha_{1,F} = 3, \text{rank } \alpha_{2,F} = 4$. Then the two independent conics in $\ker \alpha_{2,F}$ define a complete intersection length 4 scheme apolar to F . This shows that $X_4 = Y_{\text{red}}(4, \alpha_2)$. The rest of the proof is similar to the previous lemma. $\square$

It follows that I_{W_4} is generated in degree 5 by $S_{22222}(S_2 V) = \{44, 20\}$. Now there are two copies of $\{44\}$ inside $S_5(S_4)$, hence a 2-dimensional space of concomitants of degree $(5, 0, 4)$. A basis for this space is given by $\Phi_I(5, 0, 4), \Phi_{II}(5, 0, 4)$. Choose a typical form in X_4 , say $F = x_0^4 + x_1^4 + x_2^4 + (x_0 + x_1 + x_2)^4$ and evaluate both concomitants at F . It is found that $\Phi_I - 3\Phi_{II}$ vanishes identically on F .

Similarly there are two copies of $\{20\}$ in $S_5(S_4)$. However it turns out that $\Phi(5, 2, 0)$ itself vanishes on F , so no linear combination is needed.

3.5. **Case $s = 5$.** The sextic invariant defining W_5 is called the catalecticant of ternary quartics. Decomposing $S_6(S_4 V)$, we see that it contains two copies of $\{00\}$. Now $\Phi(3, 0, 0)^2$ and $\Phi(6, 0, 0)$ generate this subspace, hence the catalecticant must be their linear combination. Specializing them at

$$F = x_0^4 + x_1^4 + x_2^4 + (x_0 + x_1 + x_2)^4 + (x_0 - x_1 + x_2)^4,$$

it is seen that $3\Phi(6, 0, 0) - \Phi(3, 0, 0)^2$ identically vanishes at F . This completes the discussion of Theorem 3.1. $\square$

3.6. **A description of W_2° .** In general W_s° is only expressible as a complicated boolean expression in closed sets, and it is not easy to characterize it algebraically. Here we attempt such a characterization for $s = 2$.

Let $F \in W_2 \setminus W_2^\circ$, then F is apolar to a nonreduced length two subscheme Z of $\mathbb{P}V^*$. Upto a change of coordinates, $I_Z = (y_0, y_1^2)$. This forces $F = c_1 x_2^4 + c_2 x_1 x_2^3$, for some constants c_i . Since F has no apolar scheme of length one, $c_2 \neq 0$; so $F = x_2^3(\frac{c_1}{c_2}x_2 + x_1)$. Hence

$$W_2 \setminus W_2^\circ = \{L_1^3 L_2 : L_i \text{ are linearly independent}\}.$$

Now let

$$B = (W_2 \setminus W_2^\circ) \cup W_1 = \{L_1^3 L_2 : L_i \in V^*\},$$

which is an irreducible projective variety of dimension 4. Geometrically, B is the union of tangent planes to W_1 . The inclusions $W_1 \subseteq B \subseteq W_2$ imply $I_{W_2} \subseteq I_B \subseteq I_{W_1}$.

As in §3.2, we calculate the generators of I_B by explicit elimination. Its minimal resolution begins as

$$\begin{aligned} R(-3) \otimes M_8 \oplus R(-4) \otimes M_{570} \oplus R(-5) \otimes M_{66} \rightarrow \\ R(-2) \otimes M_{15} \oplus R(-3) \otimes M_{56} \rightarrow R \rightarrow R/I_B \rightarrow 0; \end{aligned}$$

where M_i is an i -dimensional $SL(V)$ -representation. We need to identify M_{15} and M_{56} . Since $(I_B)_2 \subseteq (I_{W_1})_2$, on dimensional grounds $M_{15} = \{44\}$. We have inclusions $(I_{W_2})_3 \subseteq (I_B)_3 \subseteq (I_{W_1})_3$, and the end terms are known. This forces

$$(I_B)_3 = \{84, 66, 63, 60, 42, 00\}.$$

Now

$$M_8 \subseteq M_{15} \otimes R_1 = \{84, 63, 42, 21, 00\},$$

hence $M_8 = \{21\}$. This implies that the submodule $\{84, 63, 42, 00\}$ of $(I_B)_3$ is generated by M_{15} , so M_{56} (the new generators in degree 3) must be $\{66, 60\}$. Define

$$\mathcal{V} = \{\Phi(2, 0, 4), \Phi(3, 0, 6), \Phi(3, 6, 0)\},$$

following the generators of I_B . Since $W_2^\circ = (W_2 \setminus B) \cup W_1$, we deduce that

Proposition 3.6. *For a ternary quartic F ,*

$$F \in W_2^\circ \iff (\mathcal{U}_2|_F = 0 \wedge \mathcal{V}|_F \neq 0) \vee (\mathcal{U}_1|_F = 0).$$

The general case does not seem so accessible, partly because there are a great many possibilities for the structure of a nonreduced scheme of length s .

Acknowledgements: I am grateful to D. Grayson and M. Stillman (authors of Macaulay-2), as well as J. Stembridge (author of the Symmetric Functions package for Maple). For information about obtaining these programs, see the respective websites:

‘www.math.uiuc.edu/Macaulay2’ and ‘www.math.umich.lsa.edu/~jrs’.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Chipalkatti. The Waring loci of binary forms. preprint, 2002 (available from the author's website: www.math.ubc.ca/~jaydeep).
- [2] J. Chipalkatti. Decomposable ternary cubics. *Exper. Math.*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 69–80, 2002.
- [3] I. Dolgachev, V. Kanev. Polar covariants of cubics and quartics. *Adv. in Math.*, vol. 98, no. 2, pp. 216–301, 1993.
- [4] R. Ehrenborg, G.-C. Rota. Apolarity and canonical forms for homogeneous polynomials. *Europ. J. of Combinatorics*, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 157–181, 1993.
- [5] G. Ellingsrud, and S.A. Strømme. Bott's formula and enumerative geometry. *Journal of the A.M.S.*, vol. 9, pp. 175–193, 1996.
- [6] W. Fulton, J. Harris. *Representation Theory, A First Course*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer–Verlag, New York, 1991.
- [7] A.V. Geramita. *Inverse Systems of Fat Points*. Queen's Papers in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. X. Queen's University, 1995.
- [8] J. H. Grace, A. Young. *The Algebra of Invariants, 1903*. Reprinted by Chelsea, New York.
- [9] J. Harris, L. Tu. On symmetric and skew-symmetric determinantal varieties. *Topology*, vol. 23, pp. 71–84, 1984.
- [10] A. Iarrobino, V. Kanev. *Power Sums, Gorenstein Algebras and Determinantal Loci*. Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics No. 1721, 1999.
- [11] T. Józefiak, P. Pragacz, and J. Weyman. Resolutions of determinantal varieties. In *Young Tableaux and Schur functors in algebra and geometry* (Toruń 1980), Astérisque vol. 87-88, 1981.
- [12] J. P. S. Kung. Gundelfinger's theorem on binary forms. *Stud. in Appl. Math.*, vol. 75, pp. 163–170, 1986.
- [13] P. Olver. *Classical Invariant Theory*. London Mathematical Society Student Texts. Cambridge Univ. Press, 1999.
- [14] O. Porras. Rank varieties and their resolutions. *J. of Algebra*, vol. 186, No. 3, pp. 677–723, 1996.
- [15] G. Salmon. *A Treatise on Higher Plane Curves, 3rd ed., 1879*. Reprinted by Chelsea, New York.
- [16] B. Sturmfels. *Algorithms in Invariant Theory*. Texts and Monographs in Symbolic Computation. Springer–Verlag, Wien New York, 1993.

Jaydeep V. Chipalkatti
 Department of Mathematics
 University of British Columbia
 Vancouver B.C. V6T 1Z2, Canada.
 email: jaydeep@math.ubc.ca